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1. "Economic Development" in "Development"

For "Poverty" Reduction.....


## Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

- Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere
- Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture
- Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages
- Goal 4: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture
- Goal 5: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls
- Goal 6: Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all
- Goal 7: Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all


## Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

- Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all
- Goal 9: Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation
- Goal 10: Reduce inequality within and among countries
- Goal 11: Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable
- Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
- Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts
- Goal 14: Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development


## Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

- Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
- Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels
- Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development


## What is Poverty?. . . End poverty in all its forms everywhere...

 (1) Initial Slave Port of Elimina, Ghana (West Africa)

Photo: © Lisa Kristine Source:TED (Jan. 2012)

Elmina, the port for slave trade (17thC-)

Poor micro farmers \& (illegal) mining slavery labor that continues even today in a free country...

What is Poverty? . . .End poverty in all its forms everywhere... (2) Bhutan, a country of Gross National Happiness (S.Asia)


What is Poverty? . . . End poverty in all its forms everywhere... (3) India, a giant elephant that started to move (S.Asia)


## What is Poverty? . . .End poverty in all its forms everywhere... <br> (4) Thailand, known as land with smiles (South East Asia)



Poor Noth-East Region
Government-coordinated investment by a paper factory Employment or Environment (a girl suffering from asthma)


A story that I cannot share photographs.
Progressing Social Inclusion in the North
Girls from poor rural villages and mountain tribes, saved from Child Prostitution or Slavery Labor try to socially rehabilitate themselves back into society at Welfare Schools.

Escaping from "poverty" also means Freedom from Deprivation

What is Poverty? .. .End poverty in all its forms everywhere... (5) Rising divide in Inodnesia, the ASEAN leader who overcame the Asian Financial Crisis(S.E.Asia)


What is Poverty? ...End poverty in all its forms everywhere... (5a) Cambodia, a country in rebuilding (S.E.Asia)


## What is Poverty? . . .End poverty in all its forms everywhere...

 (5b) Cambodia, a country in rebuilding (S.E.Asia)

## Major Shifts from MDGs to SDGs

- DGs for all, developed and developing, alike...
- Ever expanding notion of "Sustainability"

Sustainable Planet and Sustainable Society

- Determination to interfere "Internal Affairs"

Dealing with (Structural) Inequality in order to save structurally poor (domestic governance incl. institutions, laws/regulations, even embedded social values

- Calls for Wider Participation \& Networking Brain Power



## What is Economic Growth?

In the development economics field, the term "economic growth" and "economic development" are distinctively used. Strictly speaking, economic growth is the growth of the size of the real economy in a country, which is measured by the gross domestic product (GDP). The growth rate is what we call the economic growth rate. However, when discussing its effect on poverty reduction, there are times that increase in the average income per capita (GDP divided by population) is considered economic growth. In this case, the rate of per capita income increase is observed.

## What is Economic Development?

In economic development, "development" is perceived as a process. Economic development is defined as a concept that involves the following structural changes and social transformation that accompany economic growth.

- Industrial transformation (shift from an agriculture-dominant society to an industry-dominant society), and economic structural changes such as developments in economic/social infrastructure and institutions;
- Social transformation and the changes in lifestyles that accompany urbanization (labor migration from rural areas to cities);
- Cultural transformation such as the shift from family/relative/tribe-oriented organization/relationship to a more merit-based, contractual organization/ relationship;
- Political transformation including democratization and (legal) institution building for the establishment of property rights, contract enforcement, and so forth.


## What is Economic Development?

When we see "development" as outcomes, it is considered that development has happened or has been made, only when human well-being has improved along with economic growth (income growth).
Dadley Seers (1969) discusses as follows:
The questions to ask about a country's development are therefore: What has been happening to poverty? What has been happening to unemployment? What has been happening to inequality? If all three of these have declined from high levels, then beyond doubt this has been a period of development for the country concerned. If one or two of these central problems have been growing worse, especially if all three have, it would be strange to call the result "development" even if per capita income doubled. (P-G-I Triangle \& Pro-Poor Growth)

## P-G-I Triangle



FIGURE 1.2 Poverty-Growth-Inequality Triangle under Globalization
Source: Authors' construction.

## 2. The State of the World

## Economic Growth

(Changes in per capita Income) (Changes in Income Disparity)

## Regional Poverty Estimates (2011 PPP \$1.90 )

Table 1.1 Poverty Reduction under the MDGs: 1990-2015 (2018 Revision)
Poverty in Developing Regions at $\$ 1.90$ a day

(Source) Compiled by author using World Bank, World Development Indicators On-line Database.

[^0]World's Poor in 2005


Figures are in millions of people Graphic courtesy The Guardian wuur.guardian.co.uk/global-development

## Income Convergence?

Table1-2 Changes in Regional Real Per Capita GDP (2010 US\$)

| Developing Regions | 1965 | 1975 | 1985 | 1995 | 2005 | 2015 | $2015 / 1965$ | $2015 / 1985$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Asia \& Pacific | 1,682 | 2,522 | 3,456 | 4,807 | 6,296 | 9,234 | 5.5 | 2.7 |
| $\quad$ China | 187 | 272 | 539 | 1,228 | 2,738 | 6,497 | 34.7 | 12.1 |
| Europe \& Central Asia | - | 12,928 | 15,481 | 17,675 | 22,471 | 24,810 | .. | 1.6 |
| Latin America \& Caribbean | 4,151 | 5,878 | 6,469 | 6,977 | 7,955 | 9,446 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Middle East \& North Africa | - | 5,837 | 4,751 | 5,220 | 6,453 | 7,527 | .. | 1.6 |
| South Asia | 338 | 382 | 466 | 630 | 934 | 1,603 | 4.7 | 3.4 |
| $\quad$ India | 325 | 375 | 447 | 622 | 971 | 1,759 | 5.4 | 3.9 |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | 1,235 | 1,473 | 1,293 | 1,162 | 1,375 | 1,680 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| LMIC | 1,065 | 1,089 | 1,103 | 1,208 | 1,329 | 1,459 | 1.37 | 1.32 |
| High Income Cos. | 14,386 | 15,082 | 15,602 | 17,171 | 18,028 | 19,848 | 1.38 | 1.27 |
| World | 4,447 | 4,608 | 4,714 | 5,098 | 5,301 | 5,743 |  |  |
| HIC/LMIC | 13.5 |  |  |  |  | 13.6 |  |  |

## Per Capita Income Ratio between rich and poor (HIC/LMIC) stayed at the same range of 13.5-13.6 after 50 years of development.

Note: Country compositions of geographical regions are basically fixed. Country compositions of income groups, however, change over years. For tabulation, they are fixed using 2019 World Bank income groupings.
Source: Compiled by author using World Bank, World Development Indicators On-line Database.
http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=world-development-indicators
(data downloaded on April 13, 2019)

## Income Converaence? ( $\sigma$-converaence) <br> Mean Income (2000US\$) and Coefficinet of Variation (CV)

|  | 1965 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Thome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Income Cos. 54) | 219 | 241 | 246 | 257 | 273 | 312 | 340 | 393 | 481 |
| Lower M iddle Income Cos. 588 | 361 | 442 | 570 | 689 | 768 | 861 | 1,047 | 1,250 | 1,614 |
| Upper M idde Income Cos. (40) |  | 2,631 | 3,016 | 3,516 | 3,447 | 3,498 | 3,416 | 3,897 | 4,480 |
| LM. $C^{\text {C (152) }}$ | 550 | 644 | 752 | 867 | 901 | 963 | 1.036 | 1.191 | 1.440 |
| High hcome Cos.56) | 10,911 | 13,375 | 15,044 | 17,304 | 18,959 | 21,917 | 23,466 | 26,368 | 28,242 |
| H igh Income OECD (24) | 11,190 | 13,742 | 15,419 | 17,732 | 19,606 | 22,712 | 24,256 | 27,304 | 29,251 |
| 0 ther High hcome Cos. 32 | 4,570 | 5.831 | 8.113 | 10,324 | 9.470 | 11.292 | 13,535 | 15,304 | 17,110 |
| Word (208) | 2,840 | 3,314 | 3,596 | 3,981 | 4,158 | 4,565 | 4,758 | 5,241 | 5,647 |
| CV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Income C os. 54) | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Lower M idde Income Cos. 58 ) | 0.55 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.43 |
| Upper M iddk Income Cos. (40) | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| LM. $C$ (152) | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.06 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.04 |
| High hcome Cos.56) | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.40 |
| H igh Income OECD (24) | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| 0 ther H igh hcome Cos. 32$)$ | 1.30 | 0.97 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.38 |
| Word (208) | 1.61 | 1.46 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.58 |

(Source) Author's own calculations from World Bank, World Development Indicators 2007 CD-ROM.
World wide, per capita incomes were converging till mid-1980s, but diverging since then. Incomes in high income countries have been converging. Incomes in developing countries
have been converging within each income group, but diverging between income groups.
Poor countries were getting equally poor. Success stories are only for UMICs.

$$
c_{v}=\frac{\sigma}{\mu} \quad \sigma=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}
$$

## Income Convergence?

(Absolute $\beta$-convergence)


Horizontal Axis-starting per capita income in 1960
Vertical Axis—average rate of growth during 1960-2005
In All Countries, cos. started poor did not grow at faster paces compared to cos. started relatively richer-No Absolute $\beta$-convergence. But among richer OECD cos. that share similar characteristics, Absolute $\beta$-convergence was observed (like Japan has grown faster than the UK or the USA).
(Source) Otsubo (2009), Globalization and Development.
(Barro's Ad Hoc Growth Equation Estimation)

## 3. Poverty-Growth-Inequality Triangle

Figure 0: Poverty-Growth-Inequality Triangle


Source: Author

Figure 0.5: Decomposition of change in distribution and poverty into growth and distribution effects


Source: Bourguignon (2003), Figure 1.2; Bourguignon (2004), Figure 1
Change in Poverty $=F($ growth, distribution, change in distribution) (assuming log-normal distribution)

Japan has been shifting from an Equal All－Middle－Class society to a Divided Society with Missing－Middle－Class（new rich and working poor） Recent Movements in Japan＇s Gini Indices

|  | 1981 | 2005 | Coanges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Giri Index before Recistribution（当初所瑗） | 0.3491 | 0.5263 | 1.51 |
| Gini Index after Redistribution（再分配所待） | 0.3143 | 0.3873 | 1.23 |



perviz
line ？
Source ：Author＇s unscientific imagination ！？

Figure 4 : Growth is good for the poor (Figure 1-4 in Ch.1)



Both in terms of the levels of income (left Figure) or in terms of the growth rates of income (ritht Figure), the relationships between average per capita income of a country (X-axis) and that for the poorest 20\% of the country's population (Y-axis) are one to one, ON AVERAGE. Thus, "Growth is good for the poor."

But most of the country are not on the 45-degree line.

Figure 1: Poverty-Growth-Inequality Triangle


Pro-Poor vs. Pro-Growth $\longrightarrow$ Pro-Poor Growth

Figure 3: Cross-country estimates of the Kuznets curve


Source: Bourguignon 2004, Figure 5

Figure 6 : Poverty incidence in China and India, 1981-2001


Figure 9 : Income inequality in rural and urban areas and nationally (China)


Source : Ravallion and Chen (2004), Figure 5

Figure 7 : Inequality and average income in India


Source : Bruno, Ravallion, and Squire (1996), Figure 1

## Elasticities of Poverty Reduction - Crossing Effects



Ravallion (2005) "Inequality is Bad for the Poor"
Rate of poverty reduction $=\left[-9.33^{*}(1\right.$-Inequality index)3.031 ] * Ordinary growth rate
Applied to 62 sample cos.
As Gini increases from 20 to 60, the Elasticity of Poverty Reduction w.r.t. Growth declines from -4.3 to -0.6.

## Inequality in Income/Assets $\rightarrow$ Growth 1

Figure 1: Poverty-Growth-Inequality Triangle

**WDR 2006: Equity and Development (2005)**

With imperfect markets, inequalities in power and wealth translate into unequal opportunities, leading to wasted productive potential and to an inefficient allocation of resources. (p.7)

Imperfect Capital Markets, Imperfect Land Markets, Imperfect Markets for Human Capital

Economic and political inequalities are associated with impaired institutional development. (p.8)

The second channel through which inequality affects long-run processes of development is the shaping of economic and political institutions. (p.9)

## 4. Evolution of

 Development Paradigms.
## Economists' Reasons for Poverty

## (Refer to the A3 Table Distributed)

In 2020, this Table is also downloadable from the designated cite.

## Revolutions and the Evolution of Economic Systems

(based on Yukio Noguchi, "IT makes small-scale-organization economy advantageous" Nikkei, April 5, 2002)


## The Evolution of Development Paradigm:

 A Simplified Review

| TIME | $\frac{\text { 1940s-1960s }}{\text { at the end of waI and after }}$ |  |  | 70s |  | 1980 S | 199 |  | 21st Century |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | early 1970 s | 1973-74, 1978-80 | early 1980 s |  | end of 1980s to 1997 | 1997 to end 00th C. |  |
| Global <br> Political <br> /Economic <br> System | Breton Woods System (BWS) AND <br> Advancement of Globalization <br> at US NH BW representatives from the united nations gathered to build post-war gbbaleconom c system <br> The 3 rd M ddle East W ar 1967.6) |  | Collapse of the BWS <br> 1) $N$ xon Shock (1971.8.15) <br> 2) Sm ithson ian System (1971.12) <br> 3) F xed to F bating Exchange Rate System (1973.2/3) | 1st and 2nd Oil Crises <br> 1) The 4th $M$ idde-East $W$ ar OPEC prise ncrease (1973.10.6; \$2.8/barre Ito \$11) <br> 2) Iran an Revo Ltion (end of 1978-1979.2-) OPEC price ncreases (1979.6; $\$ 14.55$ /barre l to \$23.5) <br> ( 1980 ; m ore than $\$ 30$ ) | Reaganomics <br> (in search of stronger Am erica) w/ the 40th President Reagan (1981.2-) <br> 1) Stronger private/business sector <br>  <br> money supply control <br> 2) Stronger $\$$ by polic ies for higher interest <br> 3) Stronger m ilitary forces defense exp. $\uparrow \rightarrow$ gov. exp. $\uparrow$ <br> $\rightarrow$ gov. deficits $\uparrow \rightarrow$ higher i <br> 4) 2)\&3) created trade defic its $\uparrow$ | Chaotic Adjustments <br> 1) P aza Accord (1985.9) <br> G5 agreed to further devalue $\$$. <br> ( $¥ / \$$ : 240 at 1985.8/9. <br> 200 at 1985.12, 160 at 1986.12) <br> 2) Ruble Agreem ent (1987.2) <br> $\$ \downarrow \rightarrow$ US inflation $\uparrow$ <br> $\rightarrow$ other cos. exports $\downarrow$, grow th $\downarrow$ <br> $\rightarrow$ reference range, $m$ anaged fbat \$ continued to dec line. <br> 3) B ack M onday (1987.10.19) <br> NY W all S treet $\downarrow \$ 508$ or $22.6 \%$ <br> $\rightarrow$ gbbalm arkets $\downarrow$ <br> due to the twin deficits in the US | Rap id G bbalzation <br> 1) US fiscal conso lidation <br> 2) Recovery in Lath Am erican econom ies <br> 3) Colapse of the Berlin Wall (1989.11). <br> Collapse of the Soviet Union (1991.12) and the birth of transitional econom ies $M$ arketzation and integration to the gbbal economy <br> 4) Gulf W ar (991.1-2) <br> 5) Fortress Europe (992) <br> 6) R ise of Asian G row th M ode I (The East Asian Miracle, 1993) <br> 7) 0 sb Accords <br> (993.9) | Asian Financial Crisis <br> 1) The Myth of Asian M rack? <br> 2) Current Account crisis <br> to $C$ apital Account crisis. <br> 3) Good G overnance? <br> 4) NationalPolicy vs. G bbalPolisy | Uneven and Unstable Process of Globalization? <br> 1) $9 / 11$ terror ist attacks 2001.9.11) <br> 2) A fghan istan W ar that of 2001.10-) <br> 3) Iraq W ar that of 2003.3-) <br> 4) Global Financial Crisis (2007-) <br> Toward a new M ulti-Poar System? |
|  | h order to avo id the beggar-thy-ne ghbor strategies that kd to W .W .II institutions were deve bped to <br> 1) finance reconstruction (BRD 1945-) <br> 2) $m$ a inta in stable exchange rates am ong key currencies (M F 1947-), and <br> 3) avo id protection ism (GATT 1948-). <br> Japan: M F \& W B, 1952- <br> GATT, 1955- <br> OECD, 1964- |  | DUS could notmantan \$ vatue (US\$35< = go d 1oz.) and, with depleting stock of go ld, stopped \$-go ld convers in. <br> ii) G10 to devalue \$ and fixed exchange rates at new kvels. (\$1=¥308, 16.88\% revaluation) <br> 1972.6 Pound Crisis 1973.2/3 Another \$ Crisis <br> iii) Major deve bped econom ies fbated the ir currenc ies. <br> UN Conference on the Human Environment \$ tockhom, 1972) |  | D The US econom y suffered stagflation due to the 0 ilCrises. <br> ii) Heavy borrow ing of Euro Dollar by deve bping countries, w ith weaker OECD im port dem and $h$ gher interest rates, and stronger \$, created Debt Crisis. (1982.8 Mexico -) | D 1) created a surge in FDI <br> ii) Deve bping countries suffered BOP problems, Debt Crises. <br> $\rightarrow$ Stabilization <br> $\rightarrow$ Structural Adjustments <br> Revival of trade liberalization (mid <br> 1980s on) | i) Yen's apprec iation (1992-94) <br> $\rightarrow$ boom ing Asian exports, <br> $\rightarrow$ boom ing FDI to Asia <br> ii) Mexico's Peso C risis <br> (1994.12.20-) <br> GATT Uruguay Round <br> Establishm ent of WTO <br> (1995.1.1) <br> Surge of Regional Trade <br> Agreements (1990-) <br> UN Conference on <br> Environment and <br> Development $\mathbb{R}$ is de Jane iro, 1992.6) <br> 1992.6) | Kyoto Protocol Kyoto: 1997.12$)$ | i R ising resource prices due to high real dem and and infux of speculative m oney <br> ii) Resurgence of Resource <br> Nationalism and Resources <br> D pbmacy <br> ii) Chaotic g bbal fnancialm arket due <br> to the US subprime mortgage crisis <br> WTO Doha Round <br> eve bpm ent Round) (2001-) |
| Development Paradigm | 1st Generation |  | 2nd Generation |  |  |  |  | 2nd to the Next | Next Generation |
|  | Development Planning and <br> Import Substituting Industrialization (ISI) <br> Lack ofm arkets, private sector <br> Pervasive m arket failure <br> Capital Fundamentalism Harrod=D om ar grow th <br> m odel <br> Structura lism <br> Two-Sector mode s <br> Dependency Theories $\mathbb{S D}$ D <br> Preb isch=S nger hypothes is | Agricultural Modernization <br> ack of Human Capital <br> Sobw G row th Accounting/ G row th M odel (w ith exogenous techno bgy) | Toward Export Oriented Industrialization <br> IS I produced neffic ent SOEs. Expanding BOP deficits <br> "Getting Prices Right" Avo iding price distortions | Resurgence of <br> Neoclassical Economics <br> Basic Human Needs (BHN) approach from the "North <br> Dem and for New International Economic Order (NIIE) from the "South" <br> Export-0 rented ndustrialization <br> the 2nd Export Pessim ism | Resurgence of Neoclassical Economics <br> From aggregate (v is inary) m acro <br> m odels to technicalapplication of <br> (m icro-)econom ic priciples <br> B irth of Deve bpm ent <br> M icroeconom ics | Structural Adjustments <br> "Getting Policies Right" <br> $R$ ise of Deve bpm ent $M$ icroeconom ics <br> R isks, m perfect Inform ation <br> Knowledge Capital | Development Governance <br> "Getting Governance Right" <br> New InstitutionalEconom ics NE) <br> New G row th Theories or Endogenous G row th Theories <br> Globalization and New Liberalism <br> Human Development and Human Security | Quality of $G$ row th <br> "Getting Institutions Right" | Estab lishing New Political Economy of Development <br> Multipolarzation and D iversification <br> Fair institutions <br> Fair and equitable incentive structure <br> Social Capital and Coord nator's Role of G overnm ent |
|  | Farmers/people/the poor in developing countries are poor because xxxxx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason for Poverty | they are irrational | they are rational but Short of Capital | Excessive Governme | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of } \\ & \text { ine } \end{aligned}$ <br> at Policy Intervention | of Poor Policies | Imperfect Information | ack of Good Governance | ack of Institutions | they are not supplied $w$ ith Incentives to get out of poverty n a fair and equitabe m anner |

Source: Prof. Shigeru T. Otsubo, I2ID Book Chapter 1; Table 1-3

## The Evolution of a Development Paradigm

(Figure 1-1 \& Table 1-3 in Chapter 1)


The Evolution: 194Os to 1960s


## The Evolution: 1970s

| TME | 1970s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | early 1970s | 1973-74, 1978-80 |
| Global Political /Economic System | Collapse of the BWS <br> 1) Nixon Shock (1971.8.15) <br> 2) Smithsonian System (1971.12) <br> 3) Fixed to Floating Exchange Rate System (1973.2/3) | 1st and 2nd Oil Crises <br> 1) The 4th Middle-East War OPEC price increase (1973.10.6; \$2.8/barrel to \$11) <br> 2) Iranian Revolution (end of 1978-1979.2-) OPEC price increases |
|  | i) US could not maintain $\$$ value (US\$35<= gold 10z.) and, with depleting stock of gold, stopped $\$$-gold conversion. <br> ii) G10 to devalue $\$$ and fixed exchange rates at new levels. ( $\$ 1=¥ 308,16.88 \%$ revaluation) <br> 1972.6 Pound Crisis 1973.2/3 Another \$ Crisis <br> iii) Major developed economies floated their currencies. <br> UN Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm, 1972) | i) Oil crises created stagflation (stagnation and inflation) in OECD and other oil importing countries. <br> ii) Created 'Oil Dollar', through 'Euro Dollar' market (\$ deposits), were then circulated into developing countries as loans. <br> iii) Emergence of Resource Nationalism <br> iv) New International Economic Order (NIEO) declaration (UN, 1974) <br> v) South-South cooperation Rising Protectionism |
|  | 2nd Ge | neration |
| Development Paradigm | Toward Export Oriented Industrialization <br> ISI produced inefficient SOEs. Expanding BOP deficits <br> "Getting Prices Right" <br> Avoiding price distortions | Resurgence of Neoclassical Economics <br> Basic Human Needs (BHN) approach from the "North" <br> Demand for New International Economic Order (NIEO) from the "South" <br> Export-Oriented Industrialization vs. the 2nd Export Pessimism |
|  | Why the poor is poor? Beca | use --- |
| Reason for Poverty | offailure inExcessive Government Policy Intervention |  |


| TIME | 1980s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | early 1980s | mid 1980s on |
| Global <br> Political /Economic System | Reaganomics <br> (in search of stronger America) w/ the 40th President Reagan (1981.2-) <br> 1) Stronger private/business sector tax rate $\downarrow \rightarrow$ tax revenue $\uparrow$ (?) deregulation \& money supply control <br> 2) Stronger $\$$ by policies for higher interest <br> 3) Stronger military forces defense $\exp \uparrow \rightarrow$ gov. $\exp \uparrow$ <br> $\rightarrow$ gov. deficits $\uparrow \rightarrow$ higher i <br> 4) 2)\&3) created trade deficits $\uparrow$ | Chaotic Adjustments <br> 1) Plaza Accord (1985.9) G5 agreed to further devalue $\$$. ( $\ddagger / \$: 240$ at 1985.8/9, 200 at 1985.12, 160 at 1986.12) <br> 2) Ruble Agreement (1987.2) <br> $\$ \downarrow \rightarrow$ US inflation $\uparrow$ <br> $\rightarrow$ other cos. exports $\downarrow$, growth $\downarrow$ <br> $\rightarrow$ reference range, managed float $\$$ continued to decline. <br> 3) Black Monday (1987.10.19) NY Wall Street $\downarrow \$ 508$ or $22.6 \%$ $\rightarrow$ global markets $\downarrow$ due to the twin deficits in the US |
|  | i) The US economy suffered stagflation due to the Oil Crises. <br> ii) Heavy borrowing of Euro Dollar by developing countries, with weaker OECD import demand, higher interest rates, and stronger \$, created Debt Crisis. (1982.8 Mexico -) | i) 1) created a surge in FDI. <br> ii) Developing countries suffered BOP problems, Debt Crises. <br> $\rightarrow$ Stabilization <br> $\rightarrow$ Structural Adjustments <br> Revival of trade liberalization (mid 1980s on) |
|  | 2nd Generation |  |
| Development Paradigm | Resurgence of Neoclassical Economics <br> From aggregate (visionary) macro models to technical application of (micro-)economic principles <br> Birth of Development Microeconomics | Structural Adjustments <br> "Getting Policies Right" <br> Rise of Development Microeconomics <br> Risks, Imperfect Information <br> Knowledge Capital |
|  | 2nd Generation |  |
| Reason for Poverty | of Poor Policies | of Imperfect Information |


| TM | 1990s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | end of 1980s to 1997 | 1997 to end 20th C. |
| Global <br> Political <br> /Economic System | Rapid Globalization <br> 1) US fiscal consolidation <br> 2) Recovery in Latin American economies <br> 3) Collapse of the Berlin Wall (1989.11). <br> Collapse of the Soviet Union (1991.12) and the birth of transitional economies Marketization and integration to the global economy <br> 4) Gulf $\operatorname{War}(1991.1$-2) <br> 5) Fortress Europe (1992) <br> 6) Rise of Asian Growth Model (The East Asian Miracle, 1993) | Asian Financial Crisis <br> 1) The Myth of Asian Miracle? <br> 2) Current Account crisis to Capital Account crisis. <br> 3) Good Governance? <br> 4) National Policy vs. Global Policy |
|  | i) Yen's appreciation (1992-94) <br> $\rightarrow$ booming Asian exports, <br> $\rightarrow$ booming FDI to Asia <br> ii) Mexico's Peso Crisis (1994.12.20-) <br> GATT Uruguay Round (1986-94) <br> Establishment of WTO (1995.1.1) <br> Surge of Regional Trade Agreements (1990-) <br> UN Conference on <br> Environment and Development (Rio de Janeiro, | Kyoto Protocol (Kyoto; 1997.12) |
|  |  | 2nd to the Next |
| Development Paradigm | Development Governance <br> "Getting Governance Right" <br> New Institutional Economics (NIE) <br> New Growth Theories or Endogenous Growth Theories <br> Globalization and New Liberalism <br> Human Development and Human Security | Quality of Growth <br> "Getting Institutions Right" |
|  | Why the poor is poor? Because .-. |  |
| Reason for Poverty | of <br> lack of Good Governance | of lack of Institutions |

The Evolution: into the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century


| TMME | 21st Century |
| :---: | :---: |
| Global Political /Economic System | Uneven and Unstable Process of Globalization? <br> 1) $9 / 11$ terrorist attacks (2001.9.11) <br> 2) Afghanistan War (that of $2001.10^{-}$) |
|  | i) Rising resource prices due to high real demand and influx of speculative money <br> ii) Resurgence of Resource Nationalism and Resources Diplomacy <br> ii) Chaotic global financial market due to the US subprime mortgage crisis <br> WTO Doha Round <br> (Development Round) (2001-) |
|  | Next Generation |
| Development Paradigm | Establishing New Political Economy of Development <br> Multipolarization and Diversification <br> Fair institutions <br> Fair and equitable incentive structure <br> Social Capital and <br> Coordinator's Role of Government |
|  | Why the poor is poor? Because --- |
| Reason for Poverty | they are not supplied with Incentives to get out of poverty in a fair and equitable manner |

## 5. Issues of Major Concern...

# Industrialization in the $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{C}$. Premature De-Industrialization among Developing Countries. 

Share of employment in industry vs. GDP per capita late 80 s , late 90 s , late 00 s

$\longrightarrow$ 1987-1990 2007-2010

FIGURE 1.2 Share of employment in industry vs. GDP per capita

Price indices for selected energy and commodity products 1985-2000


Price indices for selected energy and commodity products 1985-2000-2012 (in fact, 1998/1999 on...)


## World Total Fertility Rate Map (2006)



Note: Replacement rates are 2.07-2.08 for developed countries;
2.5-3.3 for developing countries.

Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Fertility_rate_world_map_2.png

By 2020, growth in world's working-age population will be exclusively determined by developing countries


Source: World Bank (2013) Global Development Horizons "Capital for the Future"

## Saving rates will decline more slowly in developing countries...




Source: World Bank (2013) Global Development Horizons "Capital for the Future"

By 2030, those countries will account for two-thirds of global savings...

Developing countries have accounted for a growing share of global savings since around 2000...


Source: World Bank (2013) Global Development Horizons "Capital for the Future"
6. Association with

## Other Subject Areas

(Human Capital incl. Education, Governance, Democracy, Human Development...)

## Building 'Interdisciplinary' and 'Active' International Development Studies



## Factors for Higher Income Growth \& Catch-Up?

| Dependent V ariable | Period-A verage G row th Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Explanatory V ariables |  |  |
|  | Estim ated C oeffic ients | Standard Error |
| C onditional Incom e C onvergence |  |  |
| (1) hitial level of income (n log) | -0.0254 | 0.0031 |
|  |  |  |
| Initial Hum an C apital |  |  |
| (2) $M$ ale secondary and $h$ igher years of schoo ling (of persons aged 25 and over) | 0.0118 | 0.0025 |
| (3) Life expectancy (in log ) | 0.0423 | 0.0137 |
| (4) (1) $X$ (2) | -0.0062 | 0.0017 |
|  |  |  |
| Population Pressure |  |  |
| (5) Fertility rate (in log) | -0.0161 | 0.0053 |
|  |  |  |
| G overnance -Institutions |  |  |
| (6) G overnm ent consum ption to GDP ratio (excl. spending on education and defense) | -0.136 | 0.026 |
| (7) Rule of law index (subjective com posite indicator) | 0.0293 | 0.0054 |
| (8) Dem ocracy index (index of po litical rights) | 0.090 | 0.027 |
| (9) Dem ocracy index squared | -0.088 | 0.024 |
| (10) Ifflation rate (econom ic governance/m anagem ent) | -0.043 | 0.008 |
|  |  |  |
| 0 ther C ontrolV ariables |  |  |
| (11) Term s of trade change (hanges in export price/im port price ratio) | 0.137 | 0.030 |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for each period) | . 58.52 .42 |  |
| No. of 0 bservations for each period) | 808784 |  |

Table 1-4
Estimated Ad Hoc Growth Equation
(Human Capital, Governance, Institutions and Economic Growth)

Note: Dependent variables are the growth rates of real per capita GDP for 1965-75, 1975-85, and 198590. Estimation is carried out by three-stage least-squares (with different instrumental variables used for each period/equation). $p$ value for joint significance of two democracy variables (items (8) and (9)) is 0.0006 (i.e. jointly significant). Dependent variables are classified by this author in order to facilitate readers' understanding of the estimated results.
Source: Barro (1997), Table 1.1, simplified and re-categorized by this author.

## Money alone is not enough!!

## But if that Money was not available?

Fig. 1. The gap between the linear aid-investment-growth model and the actual outcome in Zambia.

Predictions by a financial gap model. Minimum Standard Model (MSM), Revised MSM (RMSM) built on Two-Gap (resource-gap) model and the Harrod-Domar growth model.

Source: Figure 1 in
William Easterly, "The Ghost of Financing Gap: Testing the Growth Model of the International Financial Institutions," Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 60, No. 2 (December 1999)

## Economic Growth and Human Development



Figure 1-5
Per Capita Income and Human

Note: Horizontal axis: per capita income levels in the year 2006 (in 2005-based PPP\$) shown in deviations from the mean income of Middle-Income countries ( ppp\$6,649).
Vertical axis: human development indicators in the year 2006 shown in deviations from the mean value of the Middle-Income countries (0.774). Source: Author's own compilation using the original data set on the Human Development Data Site of the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) < http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/data/>.

## What is Development? 1

Introduction to International Development Studies:
An Interdisciplinary Approach co-editors: Prof. S. Otsubo, development economist

Prof. H. Kimura, political scientist,
Prof. S. Ito, development sociologist

In this book, we define 'development' as the reform of the whole structural system that produces material as well as non-material poverty.

## What is Development? 2

When 'proper incentives to get out of poverty' so defined by a development economist are given to the 'structural poor', if they are equipped with 'capabilities' and 'adaptability' to respond, those who cannot easily benefit from 'trickle-down' may rise to their feet and overcome poverty by themselves.

The 'potential poor' who may easily fall into poverty given external economic/social/natural shocks are equipped with resilience supported by social capital including social safety nets, they may not have to fall into poverty repeatedly.

The poor have to be treated as active participants to development. For that end, people have to be 'empowered'.

## What is Development? 3

The state of 'development' should be the situation where people are empowered and a country is full of empowered human beings.
'International development' should be the international cooperation/collaboration heading to this end.

## Three Pillars of Poverty Reduction

Therefore, we set the three pillars of poverty reduction as follows:

1. Attainment of 'pro-poor' growth (the growth engine has to be running),
2. Adoption of proper public policies, incl. exercising good governance and building institutions, and
3. Empowerment of the 'structural poor' and the 'potential poor'.

## "Poverty never sleeps.....

## Thank you .....


[^0]:    http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=world-development-indicators
    (data downloaded on April 13, 2019)

